Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41198 JDJ COMPANY INCORPORATED dba Kountry Korner RN102057056

Docket No. 2011-0295-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Kountry Korner, 100 Godley Avenue, Godley, Johnson County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: May 6, 2011

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$2,621

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$524 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$2,097 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average

Site/RN - Average by Default

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: February 2, 2011

Date(s) of NOE(s): February 2, 2011

Executive Summary - Enforcement Matter - Case No. 41198 JDJ COMPANY INCORPORATED dba Kountry Korner RN102057056

Docket No. 2011-0295-PST-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once per month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 2. Failed to provide proper release detection for the piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the annual piping tightness and line leak detector tests had not been conducted [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. WATER Code § 26.3475(a)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

- a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, implement a release detection method for all USTs and associated piping with the USTs; and
- b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A **SOAH Referral Date:** N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A **Settlement Date:** N/A

Contact Information

TCEO Attorney: N/A

TCEO Enforcement Coordinator: Ms. Elvia Maske, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 7, MC 128, (512) 239-0789; Ms. Laurie Eaves, Enforcement

Division, MC 219, (512) 239-4495 TCEO SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Mr. Don Jernigan, Owner, Kountry Korner, P.O. Box 11, Godley, Texas

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision October 30, 2008 Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) **TCEQ** Assigned 7-Feb-2011 Screening 10*Feb*2011 PCW 20-Feb-2011 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent JDJ COMPANY INCORPORATED dba Kountry Korner Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN102057056 Facility/Site Region 4-Dallas/Fort Worth Major/Minor Source Minor CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 41198 No. of Violations 1 Docket No. 2011-0295-PST-E Order Type 1660 Government/Non-Profit No Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Elvia Maske Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team Maximum \$10,000 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$2,500 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL But a subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the Indicated percentage. Compliance History 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$0 No adjustment due to compliance history. Notes Culpability No Subtotal 4 \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 0:0% Enhancement Subtotal 6 Economic Benefit \$0 Total EB Amounts Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$164 Approx. Cost of Compliance Final Subtotal SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 \$2,500 \$121 4.8% Adjustment OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the Indicated percentage Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance Notes associated with the violation. Final Penalty Amount \$2,621 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT \$2,621 DEFERRAL 20.0% Reduction Adjustment -\$524 Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

\$2,097

PAYABLE PENALTY

Notes

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Screening Date 10-Feb-2011

Docket No. 2011-0295-PST-E

Respondent JDJ COMPANY INCORPORATED dba Kountry Korne

Case ID No. 41198

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102057056

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Elvia Maske

Compliance History Worksheet

Component	ry Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	Other written NOVs	0	0%	
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	Ö	0%	
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%	
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%	
Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No	1	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
,	Adjustment Perc	entage (Sub	total 2)	0
epeat Violator (Subtotal 3)	ana Diagi		640
No	Adjustment Perc	entage (Sub	total 3)	0
mpliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			r .
Average Pe	rformer Adjustment Perc	entage (Sub	total 7)	0
ompliance Histo		# ####################################	Y KAG	
Compliance History Notes	No adjustment due to compliance history.			

		-PST-E PCW
	t JDJ COMPANY INCORPORATED dba Kountry Korner	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No		PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinato Violation Numbe		
	the state of the s	
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2) and Tex 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)	. Water Code §
Violation Description	Falled to monitor the underground storage tanks (USTs) for release of at least once per month (not to exceed 35 days between each falled to provide proper release detection for the piping associat Specifically, the annual piping tightness and line leak detector to conducted.	monitoring). Also, led with the USTs.
		Base Penalty \$10,000
S Environmental Prone	rty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	SOMETHINGS
Release		\$
OR Actua		0-04
Potentia Potentia	Percent Percent	25%
>>Programmatic Matrix	The second secon	a design
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	II.
Yan E	Percent	0%
The second secon		
Matrix Human health	or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which w	ould exceed levels
	protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result o	
	Adjustment	\$7,500
2 Sept. Sept		<u> </u>
		\$2,500
Vial-Hameryayea-	And Control to the Co	
Violation Events		
	Violation Events 1 14 Number of v	rolation days
	Violation Events 1 14 Number of v	/lolation days
	daily	violation days
	dally weekly	violation days
	dally weekly monthly X	
Number of	dally weekly monthly X Violat	ion Base Penalty \$2,500
Number of mark only one	dally weekly monthly X	
Number of mark only one	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual	
Number of mark only one	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual	
Number of mark only one with an x	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual	ion Base Penaity \$2,500
Number of mark only one with an x	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	ion Base Penaity \$2,500
Number of mark only one with an x	dally weekly monthly guarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revie	ion Base Penaity \$2,500
Number of mark only one with an x One mon	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revies February 10, 2011 screening date.	ion Base Penaity \$2,500
Number of mark only one with an x	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revies February 10, 2011 screening date.	ion Base Penalty \$2,500
Number of mark only one with an x One mon	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revie February 10, 2011 screening date. ply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	ion Base Penalty \$2,500
Number of mark only one with an x One mon	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revie February 10, 2011 screening date. Iply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary	ion Base Penalty \$2,500
Number of mark only one with an x One mon	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revie February 10, 2011 screening date. ply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	ion Base Penalty \$2,500
Number of mark only one with an x One mon	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revie February 10, 2011 screening date. IPIV 0.0% Reduction Extraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good failth cuti	ion Base Penalty \$2,500
Number of mark only one with an x One mon	dally weekly monthly guarterly semiannual annual single event athly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revie February 10, 2011 screening date. IPIY 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x)	ion Base Penalty \$2,500
Number of mark only one with an x One mon	dally weekly monthly guarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revies February 10, 2011 screening date. IPIY 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith critical responses to the control of the critical responses to the control of the critical responses to the control of the critical responses to	ion Base Penalty \$2,500
Number of mark only one with an x One mon	dally weekly monthly guarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revies February 10, 2011 screening date. IPIV 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRE/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith critical this violation.	ion Base Penalty \$2,500
Mumber of mark only one with an x One mon	dally weekly monthly guarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revies February 10, 2011 screening date. Iply 0.0% Reduction Extraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good falth critical this violation.	ion Base Penalty \$2,500 ew date to the \$0 for a for
Number of mark only one with an x One mon	dally weekly monthly guarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revies February 10, 2011 screening date. Iply 0.0% Reduction Extraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good falth critical this violation.	ion Base Penalty \$2,500 ew date to the \$0 ceria for foliolation Subtotal \$2,500 y Limit Test:
Number of mark only one with an x One mon Good Faith Efforts to Com Economic Benefit (EB) for	dally weekly monthly guarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revies February 10, 2011 screening date. Iply 0.0% Reduction Extraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent does not meet the good falth critical this violation. V This violation Statutory Statutory	ion Base Penalty \$2,500 ew date to the \$0 for a for
Number of mark only one with an x One mon Good Faith Efforts to Com Economic Benefit (EB) for	dally weekly monthly guarterly semiannual annual single event withly event is recommended from the February 2, 2011 record revies February 10, 2011 screening date. Iply 0.0% Reduction Extraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good falth critical this violation. V Ithis violation Statutory Statutory	ion Base Penalty \$2,500 ew date to the \$0 ceria for \$2,500 y Limit Test; nal Penalty Total \$2,621

Violation No.	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank			Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
			70. (11.)		5.0	1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description					12.44	bon evi or
Delayed Costs	All the second s		Nggangalay kulist Masak			#** 41 . A
Equipment		r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings			0.00		\$0	. \$0
Other (as needed)			0.00		\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction			0.00		\$0	\$0
Land			0.00	\$0	a h/a ha	\$0.
Record Keeping System			0.00). \$0		\$0
Training/Sampling			0.00		n/a	\$0.
Remediation/Disposal	1-81		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs			0.00	\$0	/° = n/a ====	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	2-Feb-2011	1-Sep-2011 0.58	3 \$43	n/a	\$43
	II Estimated co				date required is the	recora review
Notes for DELAYED costs			the final date is the			ded costs)
Avoided Costs			costs before enter	ing item (except	for one-time avoi	
Avoided Costs			costs before enter	ing item (except	for one-time avoi	\$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel			costs before enter	ing Item (except) \$0) \$0	for one-time avoi	\$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling			costs before enter 0.00 0.00 0.00	ing item (except 5 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0	for one-time avoided the second secon	\$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment			costs before enter 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ing item (except 5 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0	for one-time avoi \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling			costs before enter 0.00 0.00 0.00	ing item (except) \$0) \$0) \$0) \$0) \$0) \$0) \$0) \$0	for one-time avoided the second secon	\$0 \$0 \$0

Approx. Cost of Compliance

Compliance History

CN603812256 JDJ COMPANY INCORPORATED Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 3.01 Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

Site Rating: 3.01 Regulated Entity: RN102057056 Kountry Korner Classification: AVERAGE

BY DEFAULT

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION 6419 ID Number(s):

REGISTRATION

--- 100 GODLEY AVE, GODLEY, TX, 76044 ---Location:

REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX TCEQ Region:

Date Compliance History Prepared: February 24, 2011

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

February 24, 2006 to February 24, 2011 Compliance Period:

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Phone: (512) 239-0789 Name: Elvia Maske

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? No

N/A 3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A 4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

N/A 5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

6. Rating Date: 9/1/2010 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government. A.

N/A

Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. В.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D.

> 02/02/2011 (884478)

Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) Ë.

N/A

F. Environmental audits.

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. L

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

	•			
			·	
		·		

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
JDJ COMPANY INCORPORATED	§	
DBA KOUNTRY KORNER	§	
RN102057056	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2011-0295-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding JDJ COMPANY INCORPORATED dba Kountry Korner ("the Respondent") under the authority of Tex. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 100 Godley Avenue in Godley, Johnson County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's two underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about February 7, 2011.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Two Thousand Six Hundred Twenty-One Dollars (\$2,621) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in

Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Thousand Ninety-Seven Dollars (\$2,097) of the administrative penalty and Five Hundred Twenty-Four Dollars (\$524) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once per month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during a record review conducted on February 2, 2011.
- 2. Failed to provide proper release detection for the piping associated with the USTs, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a), as documented during a record review conducted on February 2, 2011. Specifically, the annual piping tightness and line leak detector tests had not been conducted.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: JDJ COMPANY INCORPORATED dba Kountry Korner, Docket No. 2011-0295-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, implement a release detection method for all USTs and associated piping with the USTs, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 with a copy to:

Manager, Waste Section
Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
2309 Gravel Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph

JDJ COMPANY INCORPORATED dba Kountry Korner DOCKET NO. 2011-0295-PST-E Page 5

- exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Commission	
Son Snollin	$\frac{5/24/201}{Date}$
for the Executive Director	Date / /
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the do agree to the terms and conditions specified there accepting payment for the penalty amount, is mater	e entity indicated below my signature, and i ein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
 I also understand that failure to comply with the and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, ma A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications some selection of this case to the Attorney General additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or increased penalties in any future enforcement. Automatic referral to the Attorney General and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by la In addition, any falsification of any compliance doctors. 	ay result in: submitted; eral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief r to a collection agency; nt actions; 's Office of any future enforcement actions aw.
Mon January Signature	3-23-// Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of JDJ COMPANY INCORPORATED dba Kountry Kor	Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.